

2021 CSTE Annual Conference Abstract Review Rubric

Directions: Please review abstracts according to the criteria below. Throughout your review, you may use this form in any way that works well for you. Please **do not** submit this form to your CSTE National Office staff person. **Please submit all quantitative scores, related comments, and recommendations to Confex, the online review system.** Information about the Disparities Award, Poster Award, and Presidential Priority Award are available through the Confex review site.

For your use:

<u>Criteria</u>	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Acceptable (2)	Not Acceptable (1)
Relevance/Importance to a Broad CSTE Audience: An abstract submitted to CSTE should address topics that are of use and importance to a broad audience within the CSTE membership. If accepted, this presentation is likely to attract a large audience.					
Relevance/Importance to the specific CSTE Committee: An abstract reviewed by a specific CSTE Planning Committee should address central topics of use and importance to the specific Committee.					
Clarity: The abstract title, content, and learning objectives (if applicable) are clearly described and fit in with the topic of the session.					
Technical Quality/Scientific Merit: The abstract meets high standards of technical quality and/or has scientific merit. Technical details are well explained and presentation is well laid out.					
Innovativeness: The abstract introduces new ideas and/or methods and has the potential to promote the development of new ideas and/or methods within the field.					

To Submit in Confex:

Overall Score (1-5): _____

Comments:

--

Recommendation:

- ☐ None
- ☐ Accept as Breakout
- ☐ Accept as Quick
- ☐ Accept as Lightning
- ☐ Accept as Poster
- ☐ Accept as Roundtable (Note: Only abstracts submitted as Roundtable may be accepted as Roundtable. Roundtable abstracts may not be accepted in any other format.)
- ☐ Reject