

Letters

RESEARCH LETTER

Symptom Screening at Illness Onset of Health Care Personnel With SARS-CoV-2 Infection in King County, Washington

As the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic continues, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) exposures among US health care personnel (HCP) during health care delivery and from community contacts will increase. Results from real-time reverse

transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction suggest that high viral loads may be detected soon after illness onset, including in minimally symptomatic persons.¹ Current COVID-19 HCP screening guidance² includes assessing fever and respiratory symptoms (cough, shortness of breath, or sore throat) with clinical discretion for evaluation for other symptoms (eg, myalgias). We assessed the spectrum of symptoms at onset of COVID-19 among HCP and evaluated current screening criteria for identifying COVID-19 cases early in illness course.

Table. Clinical Course and Outcomes of Health Care Personnel With Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection—King County, Washington


	No. (%)		
	Total health care personnel (N = 48)	Onset with fever, cough, shortness of breath, or sore throat (n = 40 [83.3%])	Onset without fever, cough, shortness of breath, or sore throat (n = 8 [16.7%])
Initial symptoms			
Cough	24 (50.0)	24 (60.0)	0
Fever ^a	20 (41.7)	20 (50.0)	0
Myalgias	17 (35.4)	15 (37.5)	2 (25.0)
Headache	8 (16.7)	7 (17.5)	1 (12.5)
Chills	7 (14.6)	5 (12.5)	2 (25.0)
Sore throat	7 (14.6)	7 (17.5)	0
Coryza	6 (12.5)	4 (10.0)	2 (25.0)
Shortness of breath	5 (10.4)	5 (12.5)	0
Malaise	5 (10.4)	3 (7.5)	2 (25.0)
Diarrhea	3 (6.3)	3 (7.5)	0
Voice hoarseness	2 (4.2)	1 (2.5)	1 (12.5)
Anorexia	1 (2.1)	1 (2.5)	0
Nausea/vomiting	1 (2.1)	1 (2.5)	0
Abdominal pain	1 (2.1)	0	1 (12.5)
Symptoms over illness course			
Cough	42 (87.5)	36 (90.0)	6 (75.0)
Fever ^a	36 (75.0)	32 (80.0)	4 (50.0)
Myalgias	29 (60.4)	25 (62.5)	4 (50.0)
Headache	20 (41.7)	17 (42.5)	3 (37.5)
Chills	16 (33.3)	14 (35.0)	2 (25.0)
Diarrhea	16 (33.3)	13 (32.5)	3 (37.5)
Shortness of breath	15 (31.3)	13 (32.5)	2 (25.0)
Malaise	14 (29.2)	9 (22.5)	5 (62.5)
Sore throat	12 (25.0)	10 (25.0)	2 (25.0)
Coryza	10 (20.8)	8 (20.0)	2 (25.0)
Nausea/vomiting	8 (16.7)	6 (15.0)	2 (25.0)
Anorexia	3 (6.3)	3 (7.5)	0
Voice hoarseness	2 (4.2)	1 (2.5)	1 (12.5)
Abdominal pain	1 (2.1)	0	1 (12.5)
Outcomes			
Hospitalized	3 (6.3)	3 (7.5)	0
Intensive care unit admission	0	0	0
Death	0	0	0
Worked while symptomatic ^b	31 (64.6)	27 (67.5)	4 (50.0)
Days worked while symptomatic, median (range)	2 (1-10)	2 (1-10)	2.5 (1-5)
Days from symptom onset to resolution of all symptoms, median (range)	10 (1-21)	10 (1-21)	4 (3-18)

Abbreviation: SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

^a Fever is either measured as a temperature ≥ 100.0 °F (38 °C) or subjective fever.

^b Includes health care personnel who reported any of the following symptoms: cough, fever, myalgias, headache, chills, sore throat, coryza, shortness of breath, malaise, diarrhea, voice hoarseness, anorexia, nausea/vomiting, or abdominal pain.

Figure. Symptom Screening Combination for Health Care Personnel With Coronavirus Disease 2019 at Illness Onset (N = 48)



Methods | All laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections in HCP residing in King County, Washington, beginning February 28, 2020, the date the first confirmed case was recognized in a King County long-term care facility,³ through March 13, 2020, were included. HCP were tested after meeting their facilities' signs and symptoms criteria for testing, which varied. We conducted telephone interviews soliciting the following: demographics, chronic medical conditions (eg, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and hepatic, cardiac, and pulmonary disease), nature of patient care, occupation and work location, symptom history, days worked while symptomatic, and clinical outcome. Symptoms at illness onset included all those reported for the calendar day during which the HCP first felt unwell. Data collection was conducted as part of a public health response and was deemed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to be exempt from review by an institutional review board.

Results | Fifty of the HCP were identified through March 13, 2020; we interviewed 48. The median age was 43 years (range, 22-79 years); 37 (77.1%) were female. Most of the HCP (37 [77.1%]) performed direct patient care; the remainder included administrative assistants, environmental service workers, and maintenance workers. Twenty-three of the HCP (47.9%) had chronic medical conditions. The HCP worked in 22 health care settings including long-term care facilities (24 [50.0%]), outpatient clinics (13 [27.1%]), and acute care hospitals (6 [12.5%]). Three of the HCP concurrently worked at more than 1 health care facility.

The most common initial symptoms were cough (24 [50.0%]), fever (20 [41.7%]), and myalgias (17 [35.4%]) (Table). Eight of the HCP (16.7%) did not report fever, cough, shortness of breath, or sore throat at symptom onset; among this group, the most common symptoms were chills, myalgia, coryza, and malaise. One of the HCP did not have fever, cough, shortness of breath, or sore throat at any time during illness and only reported coryza and headache. For the other 7 HCP, the median time from illness onset to symptoms currently used to screen for COVID-19 was 2 days (range, 1-7 days). If myalgias and chills are included in screening criteria at illness

onset, case detection among HCP increased from 40 (83.3%) to 43 cases (89.6%) (Figure). Among those interviewed, 31 (64.6%) reported working a median of 2 days (range, 1-10 days) while exhibiting any symptoms.

Discussion | In this cohort, screening only for fever, cough, shortness of breath, or sore throat might have missed 17% of symptomatic HCP at the time of illness onset; expanding criteria for symptoms screening to include myalgias and chills may still have missed 10%. The data indicate that HCP worked for several days while symptomatic, when, according to a growing body of evidence, they may transmit SARS-CoV-2 to vulnerable patients and other HCP.¹ Interventions to prevent transmission from HCP include expanding symptoms-based screening criteria,² furloughing symptomatic HCP,² facilitating testing of symptomatic HCP,⁴ and creating sick leave policies that are nonpunitive, flexible, and consistent with public health guidance.⁵ Face mask use by all HCP for source control might prevent transmission from mildly symptomatic and asymptomatic HCP. This may be particularly important in long-term care facility settings and regions with widespread community transmission.^{5,6}

Limitations to this study included small sample size, short study time frame, variability in each facility's testing criteria for HCP, and limited testing availability at the time of this investigation. Because this study was centered on testing based on symptoms, those with atypical and absent symptoms may be underestimated.

Eric J. Chow, MD, MS, MPH
 Noah G. Schwartz, MD
 Farrell A. Tobolowsky, DO, MS
 Rachael L. T. Zacks, MD
 Melinda Huntington-Frazier, MSN
 Sujan C. Reddy, MD
 Agam K. Rao, MD

Author Affiliations: Epidemic Intelligence Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia (Chow, Schwartz, Tobolowsky, Zacks); Public Health-Seattle and King County, Seattle, Washington (Huntington-Frazier);

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention COVID-19 Emergency Response, Atlanta, Georgia (Reddy, Rao).

Corresponding Author: Eric J. Chow, MD, MS, MPH, Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, H24-7, Atlanta, GA 30329 (echow@cdc.gov).

Published Online: April 17, 2020. doi:[10.1001/jama.2020.6637](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6637)

Author Contributions: Dr Chow had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Chow, Schwartz, Zacks, Reddy, Rao.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Chow, Tobolowsky, Reddy, Rao.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Chow, Schwartz, Zacks, Huntington-Frazier, Reddy, Rao.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Zacks, Huntington-Frazier, Reddy. *Supervision:* Reddy, Rao.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: The case investigations, analysis, and manuscript preparation were completed as part of official duties at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The CDC designed and conducted this study; received, managed, analyzed, and interpreted the data; prepared, reviewed, and approved the manuscript; and had a role in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusion in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC.

Additional Contributions: We thank Temet M. McMichael, PhD, Claire Brostrom-Smith, MSN, Vance Kawakami, DVM, David Baure, RN, Eileen Benoliel, RN (Public Health-Seattle and King County), Hammad Ali, PhD,

Dustin W. Currie, PhD, Jonathan Dyal, MD, Michael J. Hughes, MPH, and Lisa Oakley, PhD (CDC), for assisting with data collection and Meagan Kay, DVM, James Lewis, MD, Jeffrey S. Duchin, MD (Public Health-Seattle and King County), John Jernigan, MD, Margaret A. Honein, PhD, and Thomas A. Clark, MD (CDC), for providing critical feedback on the manuscript. None of these individuals received compensation for their contributions to this study.

1. To KK, Tsang OT, Leung WS, et al. Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. Published online March 23, 2020. doi:[10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30196-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30196-1)

2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019: potential exposure at work. Published online March 7, 2020. Accessed April 10, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/guidance-risk-assessment-hcp.html>

3. McMichael TM, Currie DW, Clark S, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in a long-term care facility in King County, Washington. *N Engl J Med*. Published online March 27, 2020. doi:[10.1056/NEJMoa2005412](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2005412)

4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Priorities for testing patients with suspected COVID-19 infection. Accessed April 10, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/priority-testing-patients.pdf>

5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019: infection control guidance. Updated April 13, 2020. Accessed April 13, 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/infection-control/control-recommendations.html?CDC_AA_refVal=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fcoronavirus%2F2019-ncov%2Fhcp%2Finfection-control.html

6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019: nursing homes and long-term care facilities. Accessed April 10, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/healthcare-facilities/prevent-spread-in-long-term-care-facilities.html>