

Uncovering Ways that Emerging SARS-CoV-2 Lineages May Increase Transmissibility

Jacob E. Lemieux^{1,2} and Jonathan Z. Li³

¹ Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School

² The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard

³ Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School

Accepted Manuscript

With the emergence of novel lineages that increase transmissibility and reduce the efficacy of vaccines, the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is entering a new and dangerous phase. One of the first lineages of concern recognized was B.1.1.7 (also known as VOC-202012/01 and 501Y.V1), initially reported in the United Kingdom (UK) in September 2020. B.1.1.7 has risen quickly in prevalence across multiple independent regions¹ and appears to be approximately 50% more transmissible than most other SARS-CoV-2 genotypes²⁻⁴, a finding that has prompted intensified lockdown measures within the UK and travel restrictions in many countries. Preliminary evidence suggests that current vaccines and neutralizing antibodies remain effective against B.1.1.7⁵, in contrast to B.1.351 and P.1, where their effects appear attenuated⁶⁻⁸.

B.1.1.7 contains 17 mutations that affect the amino acid sequence of SARS-CoV-2 proteins, including 14 single amino acid mutations and three deletions¹. One of these mutations results in a deletion of amino acids 69 and 70 in the Spike (S) protein. Although not believed to be the cause of increased transmissibility, this deletion interferes with the primer/probe binding for the S gene target in the Thermo taqpath assay, termed S gene target failure (SGTF). Thus, SGTF can be used as a proxy for B.1.1.7 lineage if the prevalence of B.1.1.7 is high (other lineages also contain S:69-70 deletions and may cause SGTF). This represents a fortuitous coincidence and an efficient way to monitor and study the B.1.1.7 variant as whole genome sequencing is a far more laborious and slow process. In this issue of *the Journal of Infectious Diseases*, Kidd et al., working as a part of the NHS Lighthouse network, investigated potential differences in viral shedding between variants circulating in the UK, with SGTF as a proxy for B.1.1.7 viruses. The authors found that viruses with SGTF had a lower cycle threshold (Ct) on quantitative real-time PCR. Ct is inversely correlated with viral load, so this indicates that patients with SGTF have a higher nasopharyngeal viral load than patients with non-SGTF viruses. Given the high prevalence of B.1.1.7 at the time of sampling, they attribute this effect to B.1.1.7.

What are the implications of this finding? A higher viral load in B.1.1.7 patients could provide a mechanism for the increased transmissibility of B.1.1.7. However, a major limitation of the current study is that the proportion of non-B.1.1.7 lineages with SGTF in their sample is unknown as viral sequencing was not performed, and there are non-B.1.1.7 variants that also have SGTF. The authors cite data suggesting that B.1.1.7 was highly prevalent at the time and place of their sampling (comprising approximately 80% of the SGTFs) and, therefore, argue SGTF is an effective marker for B.1.1.7 in their study sample. Indeed, the fact that a subset of the SGTF group is made up of non-B.1.1.7 variants makes the observed Ct differences between the SGTF and non-SGTF groups even more impressive. During PCR, there is an approximate doubling of template material during each cycle, so a difference of 1 Ct roughly corresponds to a 2-fold difference in starting material. The median Ct difference between the SGTF and non-SGTF samples was approximately 4 Cts, representing approximately 16-fold higher viral shedding in the SGTF cases. This is a large difference that could certainly have consequences for the risk of transmission or disease severity. Viral load has been associated with adverse outcomes in multiple studies⁹⁻¹¹, although a causal link is not established.

The published experiments appear technically sound and demonstrate a consistent, strong effect that is biologically plausible. The authors have excluded several potential technical artifacts related to PCR while acknowledging that their findings are preliminary and must be repeated by other investigators before the evidence is definitive. The single-site, case-control design carried out in a single-laboratory could be affected by unmeasured technical and epidemiological confounding, including the timing between infection and testing. These limitations are understandable given the circumstances, and the authors should be commended for publishing critical data in close to real-time during a pandemic. Future studies should expand on the work of Kidd et al. by analyzing

longitudinal data from individual patients and conduct hypothesis-directed experimental studies in animal models.

If the association between B.1.1.7 and increased viral load is substantiated by other studies, a related question is which of the mutations in B.1.1.7 is responsible for this effect. Much speculation has centered around the Spike N501Y substitution, which increases affinity of a critical site at the binding site between Spike and the human ACE2 receptor¹², and appears to have occurred via convergent evolution in multiple emerging lineages (including B.1.351 and P.1). However, it is important not to jump to conclusions and to study the individual mutations individually and in combination. The patterns of recurrent mutations, especially involving the triad of Spike mutations N501Y, E484K, and K417N found in B.1.351 and P.1, suggest that these mutations may not be statistically or functionally independent.

The emergence of B.1.1.7 and its associated mutations is reminiscent of the earlier studies of lineages that emerged in January 2020 and contain an aspartic acid to glycine substitution at position 614 of Spike (D614G)^{13,14}. Several studies showed that D614G may be associated with a higher nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 viral load^{13,15,16}, but the effect is much smaller (1-3 Cts) compared to that which Kidd et al. observed for SGTF. If the mechanism of transmissibility in both cases is increased viral load, then the B.1.1.7 lineage may have a larger impact on SARS-CoV-2 epidemiology than D614G.

The work of Kidd et al. is a critical first step in understanding the unique phenotypic properties of the B.1.1.7 lineage and their consequences for the ongoing pandemic. This report also serves as a testament to the quality of the UK's genomic surveillance system and connected laboratory network,

which is a model for the rest of the world. As viral evolution continues to shape the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in profound and concerning ways, there is an urgent need to increase the scope and speed of genomic surveillance and to understand the phenotypic properties of emerging viral genetic variation.

While we await additional studies on the relationship of emerging lineages and viral phenotypes, clinicians, scientists, public health officials, and policy makers should begin to consider the consequences of viral lineages with evolving phenotypes. Variants with increased transmissibility reinforce the importance of continued public health vigilance and infection prevention measures. Furthermore, the emergence of these variants in multiple parts of the world highlight the need for universal vaccine accessibility, including in developing regions, as uncontrolled infection anywhere constitutes a potential risk to vaccine efficacy everywhere.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation Grant #: 2019123 to J.E.L.

References

1. Rambaut A, Loman N, Pybus O, Barclay W, Barrett J, Carabelli A, Connor T, Peacock T, Robertson DL, Volz E, on behalf of COVID-19 Genomics Consortium UK (CoG-UK). Preliminary genomic characterisation of an emergent SARS-CoV-2 lineage in the UK defined by a novel set of spike mutations. *virological.org*. 2020 Dec 20;
2. Volz E, Mishra S, Chand M, Barrett JC, Johnson R, Geidelberg L, Hinsley WR, Laydon DJ, Dabrera G, O'Toole Á, Others. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Lineage B. 1.1. 7 in England: Insights from linking epidemiological and genetic data. *medRxiv*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2021;2020–2012.
3. Davies NG, Barnard RC, Jarvis CI, Kucharski AJ, Munday J, Pearson CAB, Russell TW, Tully DC, Abbott S, Gimma A, Others. Estimated transmissibility and severity of novel SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern 202012/01 in England. *medRxiv* [Internet]. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2020; Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.12.24.20248822v1.full-text>
4. Leung K, Shum MH, Leung GM, Lam TT, Wu JT. Early transmissibility assessment of the N501Y mutant strains of SARS-CoV-2 in the United Kingdom, October to November 2020. *Euro Surveill* [Internet]. 2021 Jan;26(1). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.26.1.2002106> PMID: PMC7791602
5. Muik A, Wallisch A-K, Sängler B, Swanson KA, Mühl J, Chen W, Cai H, Maurus D, Sarkar R, Türeci Ö, Dormitzer PR, Şahin U. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 pseudovirus by BNT162b2 vaccine–elicited human sera. *Science* [Internet]. American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2021 Jan 29 [cited 2021 Feb 3]; Available from: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2021/01/28/science.abg6105.full> PMID: 33514629
6. Wibmer CK, Ayres F, Hermanus T, Madzivhandila M, Kgagudi P, Lambson BE, Vermeulen M, van den Berg K, Rossouw T, Boswell M, Others. SARS-CoV-2 501Y. V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. *BioRxiv* [Internet]. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Preprints; 2021; Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc7836116/> PMID: pmc7836116
7. Wu K, Werner AP, Moliva JJ, Koch M, Choi A, Stewart-Jones GBE, Bennett H, Boyoglu-Barnum S, Shi W, Graham BS, Carfi A, Corbett KS, Seder RA, Edwards DK. mRNA-1273 vaccine induces neutralizing antibodies against spike mutants from global SARS-CoV-2 variants. *bioRxiv* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 25; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.25.427948> PMID: PMC7836112
8. Naveca F, da Costa C, Nascimento V, Souza V, Corado A, Nascimento F, Costa Á, Duarte D, Silva G, Mejía M, Others. SARS-CoV-2 reinfection by the new Variant of Concern (VOC) P. 1 in Amazonas, Brazil. SARS-CoV-2 reinfection by the new Variant of Concern (VOC) P [Internet]. 2021;1. Available from: <https://virological.org/t/sars-cov-2-reinfection-by-the-new-variant-of-concern-voc-p-1-in-amazonas-brazil/596>
9. Pujadas E, Chaudhry F, McBride R, Richter F, Zhao S, Wajnberg A, Nadkarni G, Glicksberg BS, Houldsworth J, Cordon-Cardo C. SARS-CoV-2 viral load predicts COVID-19 mortality. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020 Sep;8(9):e70. PMID: PMC7836878

10. Fajnzylber J, Regan J, Coxen K, Corry H, Wong C, Rosenthal A, Worrall D, Giguel F, Piechocka-Trocha A, Atyeo C, Fischinger S, Chan A, Flaherty KT, Hall K, Dougan M, Ryan ET, Gillespie E, Chishti R, Li Y, Jilg N, Hanidziar D, Baron RM, Baden L, Tsibris AM, Armstrong KA, Kuritzkes DR, Alter G, Walker BD, Yu X, Li JZ, Massachusetts Consortium for Pathogen Readiness. SARS-CoV-2 viral load is associated with increased disease severity and mortality. *Nat Commun*. 2020 Oct 30;11(1):5493. PMID: PMC7603483
11. Magleby R, Westblade LF, Trzebucki A, Simon MS, Rajan M, Park J, Goyal P, Safford MM, Satlin MJ. Impact of SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load on Risk of Intubation and Mortality Among Hospitalized Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Clin Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2020 Jun 30; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa851> PMID: PMC7337625
12. Starr TN, Greaney AJ, Hilton SK, Ellis D, Crawford KHD, Dingens AS, Navarro MJ, Bowen JE, Tortorici MA, Walls AC, King NP, Veessler D, Bloom JD. Deep Mutational Scanning of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain Reveals Constraints on Folding and ACE2 Binding. *Cell*. 2020 Sep 3;182(5):1295–1310.e20. PMID: PMC7418704
13. Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, Yoon H, Theiler J, Abfalterer W, Hengartner N, Giorgi EE, Bhattacharya T, Foley B, Hastie KM, Parker, Partridge DG, Evans CM, Freeman TM, de Silva TI, McDanal C, Perez LG, Tang H, Moon-Walker A, Whelan SP, LaBranche CC, Saphire EO, Montefiori DC, Angyal A, Brown RL, Carrilero L, Green LR, Groves DC, Johnson KJ, Keeley AJ, Lindsey BB, Parsons PJ, Raza M, Rowland-Jones S, Smith N, Tucker RM, Wang D, Wyles MD. Tracking changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: evidence that D614G increases infectivity of the COVID-19 virus. *Cell* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 3; Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092867420308205>
14. Yurkovetskiy L, Wang X, Pascal KE, Tomkins-Tinch C, Nyalile TP, Wang Y, Baum A, Diehl WE, Dauphin A, Carbone C, Veinotte K, Egri SB, Schaffner SF, Lemieux JE, Munro JB, Rafique A, Barve A, Sabeti PC, Kyratsous CA, Dudkina NV, Shen K, Luban J. Structural and Functional Analysis of the D614G SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Variant. *Cell*. 2020 Oct 29;183(3):739–751.e8. PMID: PMC7492024
15. McNamara RP, Caro-Vegas C, Landis JT, Moorad R, Pluta LJ, Eason AB, Thompson C, Bailey A, Villamor FCS, Lange PT, Wong JP, Seltzer T, Seltzer J, Zhou Y, Vahrson W, Juarez A, Meyo JO, Calabre T, Broussard G, Rivera-Soto R, Chappell DL, Baric RS, Damania B, Miller MB, Dittmer DP. High-Density Amplicon Sequencing Identifies Community Spread and Ongoing Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in the Southern United States. *Cell Rep*. 2020 Nov 3;33(5):108352. PMID: PMC7574689
16. Lorenzo-Redondo R, Nam HH, Roberts SC, Simons LM, Jennings LJ, Qi C, Achenbach CJ, Hauser AR, Ison MG, Hultquist JF, Ozer EA. A clade of SARS-CoV-2 viruses associated with lower viral loads in patient upper airways. *EBioMedicine*. 2020 Dec;62:103112. PMID: PMC7655495