

Participant Evaluation Form

PARTICIPANT EVALUATION

Please take a moment to give us your feedback about the CIFOR Guidelines Toolkit. You can also submit your thoughts about the Toolkit by going to www.CIFOR.us.

Which of the following best describes your agency?

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ Local public health agency | ☐ State environmental health agency |
| ☐ Local environmental health agency | ☐ State public health laboratory |
| ☐ Local public health laboratory | ☐ State agricultural agency |
| ☐ State public health agency | ☐ Other |

Which of the following best described your program area?

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| ☐ Agriculture | ☐ Environmental health | ☐ Laboratory |
| ☐ Communications | ☐ Food regulation | ☐ Public health nursing |
| ☐ Epidemiology | ☐ Health education | ☐ Other |

How did you use the Toolkit?

- ☐ One meeting
- ☐ Multiple meetings in a short period (i.e., over a few days)
- ☐ Multiple meetings over an extended period (over several weeks or months)
- ☐ Other

Please rate your response to the following statements about the CIFOR Toolkit.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither / Undecided	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
1. The CIFOR Toolkit process was easy to follow.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The CIFOR Toolkit process moved at an appropriate pace.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. The CIFOR Toolkit process supported a meaningful examination of our outbreak response activities and needed changes.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The "Focus Areas" used to organize the CIFOR Toolkit process made sense.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. The Focus Areas covered most major outbreak response activities.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. What outbreak response activities were omitted from the Focus Areas?	Comments:					
7. The worksheets made it easy to review outbreak response at our agency/ jurisdiction and identify activities and procedures in need of improvement.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. The keys to success helped us understand the critical aspects of outbreak response in the different Focus Areas.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither / Undecided	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
9. The worksheets helped us identify CIFOR recommendations to improve outbreak response appropriate for our agency/jurisdiction.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. Crosswalks between the CIFOR Guidelines and other quality assurance initiatives (e.g., FDA Standards 5) were useful.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
11. The materials included in the CIFOR Toolkit were adequate to undertake the process.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
12. What additional materials would have made it easier?	Comments:					

Please share any other thoughts or ideas you have to improve the CIFOR Toolkit.

Your thoughts are important to us. Please send completed evaluations to: Lauren Rosenberg, MPA, Research Analyst Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists, 2872 Woodcock Blvd., Suite 303, Atlanta, GA 30341 or by fax at 770.407.5108 or by email at lrosenberg@cste.org.